



ANNEX E

AIR QUALITY



ANNEX E1

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES FOR AIR
QUALITY



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

CONTACT : MR MAGNUM FAN

CLIENT : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.

ADDRESS : RM 712, 7/F, MY LOFT 9 HOI WING ROAD,
TUEN MUN, N.T. HK

PROJECT : -----

WORK ORDER : **HK2419606**

SUB-BATCH : 1

DATE RECEIVED : 20-MAY-2024

DATE OF ISSUE : 24-MAY-2024

NO. OF SAMPLES : 1

CLIENT ORDER : -----

General Comments

- Sample Information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
- Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.
- Calibration was subcontracted to Envirotech Services Company.
- Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition.

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

11/F Chung Shun Knitting Centre 1 - 3 Wing Yip Street Kwai Chung N.T. Hong Kong
Tel. +852 2610 1044 Fax +852 2610 2021 www.alsglobal.com

WORK ORDER : HK2419606
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ENVIROTECH SERVICES CO.
PROJECT :



ALS Lab ID	Client's Sample ID	Sample Type	Sample Date	External Lab Report No.
HK2419606-001	Sibata LD 3B (436560)	Equipments	11-May-2024	S/N: 436560

----- END OF REPORT -----



Envirotech Services Co.

Rm. 712, 7/F
My Loft,
9 Hoi Wing Road,
Tuen Mun, H.K.
Tel : 2660 8450
Fax : 2660 8553
E-mail: envirotech@navigator.com

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust Monitor
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
Serial No.: 436560
Equipment Ref.: N/A
ALS Job Order: HK2418944

Standard Equipment

Standard Equipment: High Volume Sampler (TSP)
Location: Envirotech Room (Calibration Room)
Equipment Ref.: HVS 8162
Last Calibration Date: 25-Mar-2024


Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 11-May-2024

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hpa)	Concentration in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (Standard Equipment) (Y-Axis)	Concentration in $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (Calibrated Equipment) (X-Axis)
1hr 00mins	0830-0930	26.8	1015	34	27
2hr 00mins	0935-1135	28.5	1015	53	53
3hr 00mins	1310-1610	29.5	1016	133	106

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): $1.2400(\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3)/\text{CPM}$
Correlation Coefficient (R): 0.9928
Date of Issue: 19-May-2024



Remarks:

1. Strong Correlation (>0.8)
2. Factor $1.2400(\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3)/\text{CPM}$ should be applied for TSP monitoring

*If $R < 0.5$, repair or verification is required for the equipment

Operator: P.F.Yeung Signature Tai Date: 19 May 2024

QC Reviewer: K.F.Ho Signature Ho Date: 19 May 2024

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Rm. 712, My Loft, Tuen Mun

HVS ID: 8162

Name and Model : TISCH HVS Model TE-5170

Date of Calibration:

25-Mar-24

Next Calibration Date:

24-May-24

Operator:

P.F. Yeung

CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hpa)

1016

Temperature (°C)

24.5

Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)

762.1

Temperature (K)

297.5

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make:

TISCH

Model:

TE-5025A

Serial#:

2454

Qstd Slope

2.07544

Qstd Intercept

-0.03205

CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O(L) (in)	H2O(R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC (corrected)	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.7	6.8	13.5	1.790	60	60.15	Slope= 30.471 Intercept= 5.514 Corr. Coeff.= 0.9994
13	5.5	5.6	11.1	1.625	55	55.13	
10	4.3	4.5	8.8	1.448	49	49.12	
7	2.5	2.7	5.2	1.117	40	40.10	
5	1.5	1.7	3.2	0.879	32	32.08	

Calculations:

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart response

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pa = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m(I[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information

Cal. Date: December 15, 2023 Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 Ta: 295 °K
Operator: Jim Tisch Pa: 748.5 mm Hg
Calibration Model #: TE-5025A Calibrator S/N: **2454**

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.4250	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0090	6.4	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.9040	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8610	8.8	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7110	12.8	8.00

Data Tabulation

Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis)
0.9907	0.6952	1.4106	0.9957	0.6988	0.8878
0.9864	0.9776	1.9949	0.9914	0.9826	1.2556
0.9844	1.0890	2.2304	0.9894	1.0945	1.4037
0.9832	1.1420	2.3393	0.9882	1.1478	1.4723
0.9779	1.3754	2.8213	0.9829	1.3824	1.7756
QSTD	m=	2.07544	QA	m=	1.29961
	b=	-0.03205		b=	-0.02017
	r=	0.99999		r=	0.99999

Calculations

Vstd=	$\Delta Vol((Pa-\Delta P)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)$	Va=	$\Delta Vol((Pa-\Delta P)/Pa)$
Qstd=	Vstd/ΔTime	Qa=	Va/ΔTime
For subsequent flow rate calculations:			
Qstd= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$		Qa= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$	

Standard Conditions

Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
Key	
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)	
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)	
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)	
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)	
b: intercept	
m: slope	

RECALIBRATION

US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30



ANNEX E2

MONITORING SCHEDULE FOR AIR
QUALITY

Tung Chung New Town Extension (East)
Air Quality Monitoring Schedule (March 2025)

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
						1-Mar
2-Mar	3-Mar	4-Mar	5-Mar	6-Mar	7-Mar	8-Mar
		Air Quality Monitoring				
9-Mar	10-Mar	11-Mar	12-Mar	13-Mar	14-Mar	15-Mar
	Air Quality Monitoring					Air Quality Monitoring
16-Mar	17-Mar	18-Mar	19-Mar	20-Mar	21-Mar	22-Mar
					Air Quality Monitoring	
23-Mar	24-Mar	25-Mar	26-Mar	27-Mar	28-Mar	29-Mar
				Air Quality Monitoring		
30-Mar	31-Mar					




ANNEX E3

MONITORING RESULTS FOR AIR
QUALITY

Table E3 ***Data for 1-hr TSP Monitoring at Station DM-1***

Date	Start Time	Finish Time	Weather	1-hour TSP (µg/m ³)
2/3/2025	13:18	14:18	Cloudy	48
2/3/2025	14:18	15:18	Cloudy	47
2/3/2025	15:18	16:18	Cloudy	47
2/8/2025	13:14	14:14	Cloudy	42
2/8/2025	14:14	15:14	Cloudy	41
2/8/2025	15:14	16:14	Cloudy	45
2/14/2025	9:00	10:00	Cloudy	38
2/14/2025	10:00	11:00	Cloudy	40
2/14/2025	11:00	12:00	Cloudy	37
2/20/2025	13:54	14:54	Sunny	46
2/20/2025	14:54	15:54	Sunny	49
2/20/2025	15:54	16:54	Sunny	53
2/26/2025	9:02	10:02	Cloudy	63
2/26/2025	10:02	11:02	Cloudy	60
2/26/2025	11:02	12:02	Cloudy	65

Figure E3 Graphical Presentation for 1-hr TSP Monitoring at Station DM-1





ANNEX E4

EVENT AND ACTION PLAN FOR AIR
QUALITY

Annex E4 *Event and Action Plan for Air Quality*

Event	Action			
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
Action level exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.
Action level exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if appropriate.

Event	Action			
	ET	IEC	ER	Contractor
Limit level exceedance for one sample	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform ER, Contractor and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
Limit level exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IEC and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consultation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.